p-ISSN: 2745-7141 e-ISSN: 2746-1920

*Jurnal Pendidikan Indonesia* Vol. 6 No. 3 Maret 2025

# THE INFLUENCE OF LEADERSHIP AND INCENTIVES ON TEACHER PERFORMANCE WITH MOTIVATION AS A MODERATING VARIABLE AT PUBLIC MADRASAH ALIYAH IN PONTIANAK CITY

#### Tazkia Lailia Rahmi, Arif Hartono

Department of Management, Faculty of Business and Economics, Universitas Islam Indonesia, Indonesia

Email: 20311404@students.uii.ac.id. 933110101@uii.ac.id.

| INFO ARTICLE     |              | ABSTRACT  |
|------------------|--------------|---|
| <b>Keywords:</b> |              | This study aims to determine how leadership and incentives affect |
| leadership,      | incentives,  | teacher performance with motivation as a moderating variable.     |
| teacher          | performance, | The research was conducted using quantitative methods. Data       |
| motivation       |              | were obtained using a sample of 128 teachers from three State     |
|                  |              | Aliyah Madrasah in Pontianak City. The data were analyzed         |
|                  |              | using linear regression techniques with the help of SPSS          |
|                  |              | statistical software. The results showed that both leadership and |
|                  |              | incentives had a positive and significant effect on teacher       |
|                  |              | performance mediated by motivation. Partially, leadership and     |
|                  |              | incentives also have a positive and significant effect on both    |
|                  |              | teacher motivation and performance.                               |

#### INTRODUCTION

Teachers are the most decisive component in the education system as a whole, which must receive first and foremost attention. Teachers are required to have a performance that is able to provide and reliaze the hopes and desires of all parties, especially the general public who have trusted madrasah and teachers in fostering students. (Kusnadi et al., 2025) explains that performance is the achievement of work result or work performance of targets that must be achieved by an employee within a certain period of time in accordance with their respective duties and functions.

The head of Madrasah has a big role, function and duty in advancing his madrasah, effective leadership is a leader who can bring his madrasah to a better situation, achieve the desired results, can improve the performance of his teachers so that it will have an impact on the quality of education. (Umar Sidiq, 2021) argues that leadership is a way or technique used by leaders in influencing followers or subordinates in carrying out cooperation that has been determined.

One of the other elements that effect teacher performance is by providing incentives. (Waloyo, 2020) explains incentives as additional rewards for outstanding employees, incentives for employees to carry out their work better, so that their performance can increase. Teachers are an important factor in the world of education such as madrasah, therefore the factor of educators or teachers needs serious attention, such as by providing incentives that are balanced with the results of their work, because providing incentives is an incentives given by madrasah to teachers with the aim of encouraging teachers to work more actively and efficiently.

Another component that is no less important is the provision of motivation. (Aryati, 2025) states that motivation is an energy to generate encouragement within employees who influence, arouse, direct, and maintain a person's behaviour related to the work environment. The madrasah principal as a motivator should be able related to motivate teachers, so that their work is more enthusiastic and disciplined. For this reason, the madrasah head must apply the right strategy that can be emulated by teachers. In addition, the madrasah principal is expected to be able to motivate each teacher to work well, be disciplined and enthusiastic, so that teachers can foster a sense of pleasure and love in teaching which in turn can improve the performance of teachers.

The research by (Joen et al., 2022), (Junaris, 2023), and (Khaeruman & Farradia, 2021) showed that leadership has a positive and significant impact on motivation, with effective leadership leading to increased teacher motivation. This is supported by (Adnan, 2020), (Hatta, 2018), and (Handayani & Arrosid, 2021), who found that incentives also positively influence motivation. Higher incentives result in increased employee motivation. Furthermore, (Nuzleha, 2022), (Pandiangan, 2019), and (Yosli et al., 2020) discovered that leadership positively affects teacher performance, with better leadership leading to improved performance. Similarly, (Indrastuti, 2020), (Usman, 2021), and (Thalib & Sabidullah, 2017) found that incentives enhance teacher performance, demonstrating that higher incentives lead to better performance. Motivation also plays a significant role, as evidenced by (Hidayat et al., 2021), (Qomariah et al., 2022), and Agustina (2020), who concluded that increased motivation results in improved teacher performance.

The study further explored the moderating role of motivation. (Nasution, 2018) showed that motivation moderates the relationship between leadership and teacher performance, with motivation amplifying the positive effects of leadership on performance. Similarly, (Andriani et al., 2018), (Astuti et al., 2020), and (Malebe et al., 2023) found that motivation moderates the impact of incentives on teacher performance. When motivation is high, the effectiveness of both leadership and incentives in improving teacher performance is significantly enhanced. These findings lead to the hypothesis that leadership and incentives, when coupled with motivation, have a positive and significant influence on teacher performance.

#### **METHODS**

In this research the author uses a quantitative approach. Quantitative methods are research methods that can be interpreted as research methods based on the philosophy of positivism, used to research on certain populations or samples, data collection using research instruments, quantitative data analysis with the aim of testing predetermined hypotheses (Sugiyono, 2013:8).

Asmara (2012:32) argues "quantitative research is research to solve problems using quantitative data analyzed with statics". For research data with the ex post facto method, namely a systematic search for empirical data where the independent variable (X) the event has occurred. So in this study the researcher does not provide treatment for the independent variable but examines the facts that have occurred or have been experienced by the research subjects.

| 700 1 1 1 | C1          | · D      | 1 1 7    | 1 1       | $\sim$ · · |
|-----------|-------------|----------|----------|-----------|------------|
| Inhiai    | Characteris | tic Hace | ad on M  | adracah ( | Iriain     |
| Table 1.  | Characteris | uc Dasc  | ou on wi | aurasarr  | OHEIII     |

| No Origin of Madrasah Number of Respondents |  |
|---|--|
|---|--|

|   |                 | Frequency | Percentage |
|---|-----------------|-----------|------------|
| 1 | MAN 1 Pontianak | 55        | 43         |
| 2 | MAN 2 Pontianak | 51        | 40         |
| 3 | MAN 3 Pontianak | 22        | 17         |
|   | Total           | 128       | 100        |

Data source: Primary data through Google Form

 Table 2. Characteristics Based on Gender

| NI. | Gender |       | Number of 1 | Respondents |
|-----|--------|-------|-------------|-------------|
| No  |        | Fr    |             | Percentage  |
| 1   | Male   |       | 73          | 57          |
| 2   | Female |       | 55          | 43          |
|     |        | Total | 128         | 100         |

Data source: Primary data through Google Form

**Table 3.** Characteristics Based on Length of Service

| No | Working Time | Number of 1 | Respondents |
|----|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| No | Working Time | Frequency   | Percentage  |
| 1  | > 10 Years   | 85          | 66,4        |
| 2  | 6 – 10 Years | 15          | 11,7        |
| 3  | 2 – 5 Years  | 23          | 18          |
| 4  | 1 – 2 Years  | 5           | 3,9         |
|    | Total        | 128         | 100         |

Data source: Primary data through Google Form

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Reliability Test Results, Classical Assumption Tests, and Hypothesis Test

**Table 4.** Reliability Test Results

| No | Variables                    | Cronbach's<br>Alpha Value | Sig.  | Description |
|----|------------------------------|---------------------------|-------|-------------|
| 1  | Leadership (X <sub>1</sub> ) | 0,846                     | > 0,5 | Very high   |
| 2  | Incentives (X <sub>2</sub> ) | 0,947                     | > 0,5 | Very high   |
| 3  | Teacher Performance (Y)      | 0,914                     | > 0,5 | Very high   |
| 4  | Motivation (Z)               | 0,939                     | > 0,5 | Very high   |

Based on table 4 above, it can be concluded that all variables of the reliability coefficient are obtained with very high information, so it can be stated that in this study the questionnaire used has reliability as a measuring tool.

**Table 5.** Normality Test Results

| Regression Model | Z Count Value | Sig. (2-tailed) | Description |
|------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Normality Test 1 | 0,821         | 0,510           | Normal      |
| Normality Test 2 | 0,555         | 0,918           | Normal      |

The normality test results were completed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov approach. If the sig value > 0.05 then the data is normally distributed. From the table above, it can be seen that the sig is 0.918 > 0.05. It can be concluded that the data is normal.

**Table 6.** Heteroscedasticity Test Results 1

| Coef | fficients <sup>a</sup> |                          |            |                              |        |      |
|------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------|------------------------------|--------|------|
|      | Model                  | Unstandar<br>Coefficient |            | Standardized<br>Coefficients | t      | Sig. |
|      |                        | В                        | Std. Error | Beta                         |        | J    |
|      | (Constant)             | 088                      | .054       |                              | -1.650 | .101 |
| 1    | Performance<br>(Y)     | .001                     | .001       | .208                         | 1.542  | .125 |
|      | Motivation (Z)         | .000                     | .000       | .052                         | .382   | .703 |

Source: Primary data processed, 2024

In table 6 above, the heteroscedasticity test has been carried out using the Gleijser test. Where in the table that the sig value is more than 0.05. therefore, it can be said that there is no disturbance in the heteroscedasticity test.

**Table 7.** Multicollinearity Test

|   |            |                           |               | Coefficients <sup>a</sup>    |       |      |                      |       |
|---|------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|-------|------|----------------------|-------|
|   | Madal      | Unstandard<br>Coefficient |               | Standardized<br>Coefficients | 4     | C:a  | Collinea<br>Statisti | •     |
|   | Model      | В                         | Std.<br>Error | Beta                         | t     | Sig. | Tolerance            | VIF   |
| 1 | (Constant) | 11.871                    | 7.376         |                              | 1.609 | .110 |                      |       |
|   | Leader     | .192                      | .056          | .212                         | 3.417 | .001 | .650                 | 1.538 |
|   | Incentives | .391                      | .079          | .315                         | 4.917 | .000 | .611                 | 1.638 |
|   | Motivation | .337                      | .053          | .450                         | 6.359 | .000 | .500                 | 2.001 |

Source: Primary data processed, 2024

Based on table 7, it can be seen that the VIF value for the leader, incentive, and motivation variables has a value of 1,538; 1,638; 2,001 < 10,00 and *tolerance* 0,650; 611; 0,500 > 0,10, so it can be concluded that there is no multicollinearity so that the regression analysis can be continued.

**Table 8.** Recapitulation of Classical Assumption Test Results

|    |                        | . (               | Classical Assumption Test Results |                            |  |  |  |
|----|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| No | Variables              | Normality<br>Test | Multicollinearity<br>Test         | Heteroscedasticity<br>Test |  |  |  |
| 1  | Leadership             | Normal            | .650 < 10                         | No heteroscedasticity      |  |  |  |
| 2  | Incentives             | Normal            | .611 < 10                         | No heteroscedasticity      |  |  |  |
| 3  | Teacher<br>Performance | Normal            | -                                 | No heteroscedasticity      |  |  |  |
| 4  | Motivation             | Normal            | .500 < 10                         | No heteroscedasticity      |  |  |  |

Source: Primary data processed, 2024

**Table 9.** Recapitulation of Hypothesis Test Recap Results

| Hypothesis | Hypothesis  | Description |
|------------|---|-------------|
| H1         | There is a significant positive influence between Leadership and Motivation   | Proven      |
| H2         | There is a significant positive influence between Incentives and Motivation   | Proven      |
| Н3         | There is a significant positive influence between Leadership and Teacher Performance  | Proven      |
| H4         | There is a significant positive influence between Incentives and Teacher Performance  | Proven      |
| Н5         | There is a significant positive influence between Motivation and Teacher Performance  | Proven      |
| Н6         | There is a significant positive influence between Leadership and Teacher Performance with Motivation as a moderating variable | Proven      |
| Н7         | There is a significant positive influence between Incentives and Teacher Performance with Motivation as a moderating variable | Proven      |

Based on the test results in table 9, it can be seen that all hypotheses tested have been proven.

#### Discussion

#### **Effect of Leadership on Motivation**

Based on the data that has been done before, getting the results of research that there is a significant influence between leadership on motivation with a value of r = 0.221 and significant value of 0.013 < 0.05. So there is a significant positive influence between leadership and motivation. The higher leadership, the higher motivation.

#### **Effect on Incentives on Motivation**

Based on the data that has been done previously, the results show that there is a significant influence between incentives on motivation with a value of r = 0.192 and a significant value of 0.031 < 0.05. So that there is a significant positive influence between incentives on motivation. The higher incentive, the higher motivation.

### The Effect of Leadership on Teacher Performance

Based on the data that has been done before, getting the results of the study that there is a significant influence between leadership on teacher performance with a value of r = 0.293 and a significant value of 0.001 < 0.05. So there is a significant positive influence between leadership on teacher performance. The higher leadership, the higher teacher's performance.

#### The Effect of Incentives on Teacher Performance

Based on the data that has been done previously, the results show that there is a significant influence between incentives on teacher performance with a value of r = 0.404 and a significant value of 0.000 < 0.05. So that there is a significant positive influence between incentives on teacher performance. The higher incentive, the higher teacher's performance.

#### **Effect of Motivation on Teacher Performance**

Based on the data that has been done previously, the results show that there is a significant influence between motivation on teacher performance with a value of r = 0.496 and a significant value of 0.000 < 0.05. So that there is a significant positive influence between motivation on teacher performance. The higher motivation, the higher teacher's performance.

# The Effect of Leadership on Teacher Performance with Motivation as a moderating variable

Based on the data that has been tested previously, the results show that there is a significat positive influence between Leadership on Teacher Performance with Motivation as a moderating variable. With a calculated t value of 2,436 and a sing value. 0000 it can be concluded that Ho is rejected and Ha is accepted. With a *Standardized Coeffients Regression* value of 23,235. So it can be concluded that if the leadership is good, the teacher's performance will improve, especially by getting motivation from the madrasah head, other teachers and from the teacher himself.

## The Effect of Incentives on Teacher Performance with Motivation as a moderating variable

Based on previously tested data, there is a significant positive influence between Incentives on Teacher Performance with Motivation as a moderating variable. With a calculated t value of 6,694 and a sig value. 0000 it can be concluded that Ho is rejected and Ha is accepted. With a *Standardized Coeffients Regression* value of 43,268. So it can be concluded that if the teacher's performance is rewarded by providing incentives, the teacher's performance will improve, especially by getting motivation from the madrasah head, other teachers and the teacher himself.

#### **Limitations of The Study**

Research using a uantitative approach may not be able to explore in depth the factors that influence teacher motivation, incentives and performance ualitatively. Factors such as personal preceptions, interpersonal relationships or emotional issues cannot be fully measured by surveys alone. In addition, in research involving surveys or uestionnaires, there is a possibility that respondents may not answer honestly, either due to social pressure or fear of negative repercussions if they give true answers. This can

affect the accuracy of the data, especially regarding the assessment of their own motivation and performance. In addition, the author uses commonly researched variables such as leadership, incentives, performance, and motivation. So that this can be a consideration for the author for further research will use uniue variables or those that are rarely researched by other authors.

## **Managerial Implications**

Based on the results of the discussion and analysis above, there are several implications for the State Aliyah Madrasah in Pontiana City, including: The results of this study prove that leadership, incentives, and motivation are proven to have an effect on teacher performance. So that the author's suggestion for the head of the madrasah is to set an example in leading this madrasah, to be a democratic and favored madrasah head for teacher's, besides providing adeuate incentives to teachers who have succeeded in maing their madrasah proud, but in addition to material incentives, of course, non-material incentives also need to be given to teachers both in official and unofficial forums. In addition to the above, the head of the madrasah also needs to motivate teachers to work well so as to create good teacher performance.

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

Based on the results of the analysis and discussion in chapter IV, the results of this study can be concluded that there is a significant influence between leadership on teacher performance with motivation as a moderating variable and between incentives on teacher performance with motivation as a moderating variable. So it can be concluded as follows: Leadership has a positive and significant influence on motivation, Leadership has a positive and significant influence on teacher performance, Incentives have a positive and significant influence on teacher performance, Motivation has a positive and significant influence on teacher performance, Leadership has a positive effect on teacher performance through motivation as a moderating variable, Incentives have a positive effect on teacher performance with motivation as a moderating variable.

#### REFERENCE

- Adnan, G. (2020). Peran Kepemimpinan Kepala Sekolah untuk Meningkatkan Kinerja Guru. Sefa Bumi Persada.
- Andriani, S., Kesumawati, N., & Kristiawan, M. (2018). The influence of the transformational leadership and work motivation on teachers performance. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 7(7), 19–29.
- Aryati, A. S. (2025). Looking Pro-Environmental behaviors From Government Employees in Indonesia: The Role of Green Work Climate as a Mediating Variable. *Journal of Business and Management Review*, 6(1), 27–46.
- Astuti, R. W., Fitria, H., & Rohana, R. (2020). The influence of leadership styles and work motivation on teacher's performance. *Journal of Social Work and Science Education*, 1(2), 105–114.
- Handayani, R., & Arrosid, H. (2021). Pengaruh Gaya Kepemimpinan Dan Motivasi Kerja Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan PT Hero Kids Cabang Teras Kota Tangerang Selatan. *Jurnal Disrupsi Bisnis: Jurnal Ilmiah Prodi Manajemen, Fakultas Ekonomi, Universitas Pamulang*, 4(1), 61.
- Hatta, M. (2018). *Empat Komptensi Untuk Membangun Profesionalisme Guru*. Nizamia Learning Center.
- Hidayat, H., Nurfadilah, A., Khoerussaadah, E., & Fauziyyah, N. (2021). Meningkatkan

- kreativitas guru dalam pembelajaran anak usia dini di era digital. *Jurnal Pendidikan Anak (Website Ini Sudah Bermigrasi Ke Website Yang Baru==> Https://Journal. Uny. Ac. Id/V3/Jpa)*, 10(2), 97–103.
- Indrastuti, S. (2020). Manajemen sumberdaya manusia stratejik. Ur Press.
- Joen, S., Purnamawati, P., & Amiruddin, A. (2022). *Kinerja Guru, Pendekatan Kepemimpinan Transformasional Kepala Sekolah Dan Motivasi Kerja Guru*. Penerbit Magama.
- Junaris, I. (2023). Kepemimpinan Kepala Madrasah: Sebuah Paradigma.
- Khaeruman, S. M., & Farradia, Y. (2021). Meningkatkan Kinerja MANAJEMEN SUMBER DAYA MANUSIA Konsep & Studi Kasus. *Banten: CV. AA RIZKY*.
- Kusnadi, K., Sitaniapessy, A., & Rismawati, R. (2025). The Effect of Workload, Performance Allowance and Motivation on Performance through Employee Job Satisfaction. *Innovation Business Management and Accounting Journal*, 4(1), 100–113.
- Malebe, C. K., Nyabisi, E., & Ochieng, P. (2023). Influence Of Provision Of Incentives By Head Teachers On Teachers' Work Performance In Public Primary Schools In Nakuru County, Kenya. *Global Journal of Educational Research*, 22(2).
- Nasution, N. (2018). The effect of incentive reward, school principal leadership, work motivation on the performance of senior high school teachers in medan. *The New Educational Review*, 53, 62–70.
- Nuzleha, N. (2022). Insentif dan Pengawasan Kinerja Karyawan.
- Pandiangan, A. P. B. (2019). Penelitian Tindakan Kelas: Sebagai Upaya Peningkatan Kualitas Pembelajaran, Profesionalisme Guru Dan Kompetensi Belajar Siswa. Deepublish.
- Qomariah, N., Nyoman, N., & Martini, P. (2022). The Influence of Leadership Style, Work Incentives and Work Motivation on the Employees Performance of Regional Revenue Agency. *Journal of Economics, Finance and Management Studies*, *5*(07), 1942–1954.
- Thalib, T., & Sabidullah, I. (2017). Kualitas Pelayanan Pasien di Instalasi Gawat Darurat Rumah Sakit Umum Daerah Aloei Saboe. *Publik: Jurnal Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia, Administrasi Dan Pelayanan Publik, 4*(2), 94–100.
- Umar Sidiq, K. (2021). Kepemimpinan Pendidikan. CV. Nata Karya, Ponorogo. Usman, H. (2021). Administrasi, Manajemen, dan Kepemimpinan Pendidikan: Teori Dan Praktik. Bumi Aksara.
- Waloyo, W. (2020). *KINERJA KARYAWAN DITINJAU DARI PEMBERIAN INSENTIF*. Yosli, R., Rukun, K., & Giatman, M. (2020). Manajemen Perencanaan Dan Pengelolaan
  - Keuangan (Bos) Sekolah Dasar Di Kota Padang. *Jurnal Kepemimpinan Dan Pengurusan Sekolah*, 5(2), 91–106.